Sunflowers were developed for human food during the past few thousand years in North America and Eastern Europe. Commercial development as a field crop occurred during the past half century. Sunflower oil is a major edible oil for humans worldwide. Oil sunflowers dominate U.S. production, accounting for more than 80 percent of the domestic crop.
Sunflower Meal

Sunflower meal is the fourth largest source of protein supplement used for livestock behind soybean, cottonseed and canola meals (Hesley, 1994). Sunflower meal is the residual product when the oil fraction is removed from the black oil seeds by “crushing,” or more specifically, prepress solvent extraction. The supply of sunflower meal in the U.S. varies by year according to acres and yield of sunflowers harvested, with some seasonal variation in output. Most sunflowers are processed from October through March. Oil sunflowers generally are grown in the Great Plains region of the U.S.

Nutrients in sunflower meal can vary depending on several factors. The amount and composition of meal is affected by oil content of the seed, extent of hull removal prior to crushing and the efficiency of oil extraction (Hesley, 1994). The proportion of the hull removed before processing differs among crushing plants. In some cases, a portion of the hulls may be added back to the meal after crushing or burned for heat. The amount of hull or fiber in the meal is the major source of variation in nutrients (Table 1).

Prepress solvent extraction of whole seeds with no dehulling produces meal with a crude protein content of 25 to 28 percent, partial dehulling yields 34 to 38 percent crude protein and completely dehulled sunflower meal commonly yields 40 percent or more crude protein. Testing of sunflower meal is advised because the protein levels are often higher than the minimum listed on the feed tag.

Sunflower meal is marketed and shipped in granular form or as pellets. Bulk density is greater with pelleted meal, reducing transportation costs. Sunflower meal without hulls that is 40 percent crude protein is approximately 32 pounds per cubic foot, with higher fiber/lower protein meals slightly less (Lusas, 1991). Sunflower meal is dry and can be stored for extended periods of time without significant loss or degradation (Hesley, 1994).

Table 1. Nutrient content of solvent-extracted sunflower meal based on the amount of hulls in the meal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>No Hulls Removed</th>
<th>Partially Dehulled</th>
<th>Dehulled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter, percent</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hesley (Ed.) National Sunflower Association, 1994

Protein in Sunflower Meal

In general, sunflower meal is equal to other protein sources, but the amount fed needs to be adjusted based on the fiber content of the meal. The National Research Council (NRC, 1996) reports a crude protein value of 26 percent for sunflower meal (Table 2), inferring that all of the hulls are included in this analysis. Other publications report 31 percent crude protein for solvent-extracted sunflower meal with a partial inclusion of hulls and 40 percent for meal without hulls (Preston, 2010).

Sunflower meal is highly rumen degradable, with 74 percent available to microbes in the rumen. Sunflower meal is more ruminally degradable than soybean meal (66 percent) or canola meal (68 percent) (NRC, 1996) (Table 2). Rumen-degradable protein is required for a healthy microbial population, which is necessary for thorough digestion of forage and fiber, making this protein source useful to all beef cattle and other ruminants.

Heat treatment or toasting of meal from the solvent-extraction process may increase the proportion of undegradable protein, but little information is available on the effects of temperature and time.
Table 2. Protein and energy fractions for sunflower meal, soybean meal and canola meal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Sunflower (with hulls)</th>
<th>Soybean</th>
<th>Canola</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein, %</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumen degradable, %</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumen undegradable, %</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber, %</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral detergent fiber, %</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid detergent fiber, %</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net energy maintenance (NEm), Mcal/kg</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net energy gain (NEg), Mcal/kg</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total digestible nutrients, %</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NRC, 1996

Energy in Sunflower Meal

Energy values of sunflower meal are lower than canola or soybean meal (NRC, 1996) (Table 2). Energy varies substantially with the fiber level and residual oil content. Higher levels of hulls included in the final meal product lower the energy content and reduce bulk density. The mechanical process of oil extraction leaves more residual oil in the meal, often 5 to 6 percent or more, depending on the efficiency of the extraction process. Elevated oil content in mechanically extracted meals provides greater energy density, which may be more valuable for animals with higher nutrient requirements or where limited amounts of supplement are fed. Prepress solvent extraction reduces residual oil to 1.5 percent or less (Hesley, 1994).

Sunflower Meal in Feeder Calf Diets

Sunflower meal can be used as the sole source of protein in beef rations (Richardson and Anderson, 1981). In trials comparing sunflower meal with other protein sources, equal animal performance is commonly observed based on isonitrogenous diets from different sources.

Dinusson et al. (1980) fed growing heifers a forage-based diet supplemented with dehulled sunflower meal (43 percent crude protein) or soybean meal. Heifers fed dehulled sunflower meal gained 1.81 pounds per day, compared with 1.83 pounds per day for heifers fed soybean meal. Gain per unit feed was .077 for both treatments.

Patterson et al. (1999a) fed 33.5 percent crude protein sunflower meal to provide .20 pound or .40 pound per day of protein, compared with .40 pound of protein from canola meal, edible beans or a mixture of edible beans and sunflower meal. In this trial, medium-quality forage (8.3 percent crude protein) was fed to steer calves when sunflower meal was substituted for urea as a nitrogen source and fed at 0, 5, 10 and 20 percent of diet dry matter. Feed efficiency favored barley distillers grains at 14.3 pounds of feed per pound of gain, with soybean meal at 14.9 and sunflower meal at 15.2. In this trial, feed cost per unit gain was equal for sunflower and soybean meals due to a lower price per unit of protein for sunflower meal.

Landblom et al. (1987) compared sunflower meal (34 percent crude protein) to soybean meal and barley distillers grains in growing heifer diets. Average daily gains (ADGs) were 2.40, 2.47 and 2.47 pounds, respectively, for sunflower meal, soybean meal and barley distillers grains. Feed efficiency favored barley distillers grains at 14.3 pounds of feed per pound of gain, with soybean meal at 14.9 and sunflower meal at 15.2. In this trial, feed cost per unit gain was equal for sunflower and soybean meals due to a lower price per unit of protein for sunflower meal.

Richardson et al. (1981) substituted sunflower meal for cottonseed meal in growing and finishing diets for steers at 0, 5.5, 11 and 22 percent of diet dry matter (DM). They reported equal total diet digestion for steer calves fed cottonseed meal and sunflower meal when fed at isonitrogenous and equal fiber levels up to 11 percent sunflower meal. Digestibility of dietary dry matter and organic matter was highest (P < .05) for the 22 percent sunflower meal treatment. The same authors also reported equal digestibility of high-forage diets for steer calves when sunflower meal was substituted for urea as a nitrogen source and fed at 0, 5, 10 and 20 percent of diet dry matter.

Patterson et al. (1999a) fed 33.5 percent crude protein sunflower meal to provide .20 pound or .40 pound per day of protein, compared with .40 pound of protein from canola meal, edible beans or a mixture of edible beans and sunflower meal. In this trial, medium-quality forage (8.3 percent crude protein) was fed to steer calves when sunflower meal was substituted for urea as a nitrogen source and fed at 0, 5, 10 and 20 percent of diet dry matter. Feed efficiency favored barley distillers grains at 14.3 pounds of feed per pound of gain, with soybean meal at 14.9 and sunflower meal at 15.2. In this trial, feed cost per unit gain was equal for sunflower and soybean meals due to a lower price per unit of protein for sunflower meal.

Dinusson et al. (1980) fed growing heifers a forage-based diet supplemented with dehulled sunflower meal (43 percent crude protein) or soybean meal. Heifers fed dehulled sunflower meal gained 1.81 pounds per day, compared with 1.83 pounds per day for heifers fed soybean meal. Gain per unit feed was .077 for both treatments.
However, differences were observed in the digestibility of the protein supplements, with edible beans (P = .02) and canola meal (P = .13) more digestible than sunflower meal.

Jordan et al. (1998) compared soybean meal with a sunflower meal (81.2 percent of protein supplement, dry-matter basis) and feather meal (11.2 percent of protein supplement) mixture for calves grazing cornstalks. Feather meal provided the rumen-undegradable protein, with the degradable protein sourced from sunflower meal. Gains were equal (P > .05) during the two-year trial. Economic comparison strongly favored the feather meal-sunflower meal combination, with a cost savings of 5 cents per head per day in 1998.

Sunflower meal was compared with soybean meal and a sunflower-soybean meal mixture in isonitrogenous supplements in corn-based finishing diets that also contained 1 percent urea. The urea and sunflower meal provided adequate ruminal-degradable nitrogen with the undegradable nitrogen provide by the corn (Milton et al., 1997). No differences were detected for gain (3.53 pounds per day, P=.18) feed efficiency (6.80, P=.85) or carcass traits (P=.64) due to treatment.

Sunflower Meal in Cow Diets

Cows consuming low-quality forages such as winter range, crop aftermath or other low-quality forages can utilize supplemental degradable protein to increase total intake, forage digestibility and performance (Kartchner, 1980; Gray, 1995). Protein can be supplemented with a number of different feeds, coproducts or oilseed meals. Least-cost sources are critical to profitability, and sunflower meal is often very price-competitive per unit of crude protein.

Sunflower meal has been widely used in beef cow supplementation programs. Gray (1995) reported that sunflower meal will minimize weight and condition score losses for beef cows. Ilse et al. (2007) reported equal performance in heifers and lactating cows when sunflower meal was compared with linseed meal in isonitrogenous supplements. Patterson et al. (1999b) fed cows grazing winter range protein supplement from 1) edible beans (navy, pinto, black, etc.), 2) sunflower meal, 3) a mix of edible beans and sunflower meal, 4) canola meal all at .38 pound per day of crude protein or 5) sunflower meal at .20 pound of protein per day. Cows fed sunflower meal at .20 pound of protein lost more weight during gestation (P < .05), but no other differences were detected, suggesting supplemental protein levels of .38 pound may have been higher than requirements.

No differences were observed in weaning weight or pregnancy rate (P >.05). Dry or edible beans fed alone resulted in some palatability problems; however, mixing edible beans and sunflower meal eliminated the problem.

Jordan et al. (1997) compared protein supplements with equal amounts of metabolizable protein and rumen-undegradable protein from soybean meal or a feather meal-sunflower meal combination. Supplements were fed to cows grazing cornstalks at 1.5 pounds per day as fed. The combination supplement was 81.2 percent (DM basis) sunflower meal and 11.2 percent feather meal. Cows and heifers gained the same (P > .15) on the two treatments. Protein costs were 4 cents per head per day lower for the feather meal-sunflower meal supplement.

Lactating mature beef cows were fed three different protein supplements: 4.55 pounds of sunflower meal (38.1 percent crude protein), 5 pounds of lupines (33.2 percent crude protein) or 10 pounds of wheat screenings (16.6 percent crude protein) in straw-based diets. No differences (P > .05) were observed for weight change, cow condition score or reproduction due to supplement treatment (Anderson, 1993). Calf gains were 2.11 pounds daily for sunflower meal, compared with 2.00 for wheat screenings and 2.01 for lupines (P > .05).

Sunflower meal was used as 57 percent of a formulated protein supplement (1.80 pounds per head per day), contributing degradable protein to lactating cow diets (Anderson et. al., 2000).
Feeding Whole Sunflower Seeds or Screenings

Whole sunflower seed can be fed to most ruminants as a protein and energy (from fat) source. Cracking or rolling sunflower seeds prior to feeding does not appear to be advantageous. The size of the seed results in cows chewing and breaking down the product during digestion (Ahrar and Schingoethe, 1978). Feeding sunflower seeds in a mixed ration eliminates any issues of feed preference or palatability.

Whole sunflower seeds contain up to 42 percent fat on a DM basis. Too much fat in ruminant diets will decrease forage digestibility. Fat should not exceed 6 percent of the diet dry matter, so as a rule of thumb, limit sunflower seeds to no more than 5 pounds per head for mature cows and 3 pounds for growing calves.

Distressed sunflower seeds or screenings may be lower in oil content. Light test weight seed from an early frost or insect damage still can be used for feed. Screenings should be tested periodically for nutrient content because the feed quality of screenings can decrease significantly during the season (Anderson, 2002).

Sclerotia bodies from sclerotinia-infected sunflowers have not caused feeding or performance problems with beef cows (Anderson and Bock, 2000) when fed as 52 percent of the sunflower screenings by weight.

Summary

Sunflower meal is a biologically and economically useful protein source for all classes of beef cattle. Beef cows can be provided supplemental protein effectively with sunflower meal when degradable protein is required.

Sunflower meal is preferred in lower-quality forage rations, when cattle are grazing winter range or in crop residue such as corn stover. Sunflower meal also is useful in high-forage growing rations for steers and developing heifers, or in high-corn grain finishing rations. The relatively high fiber of sunflower meal may affect logistics, but ruminants are able to digest fiber much better than other species.

Sclerotia produced in a sclerotinia-infected sunflower.
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Close-up of sclerotia bodies found in sunflower screenings.

(Photo by M. Wunsch, NDSU)


Cover photo courtesy of National Sunflower Association

For more information on this and other topics, see www.ag.ndsu.edu

NDSU encourages you to use and share this content, but please do so under the conditions of our Creative Commons license. You may copy, distribute, transmit and adapt this work as long as you give full attribution, don’t use the work for commercial purposes and share your resulting work similarly. For more information, visit www.ag.ndsu.edu/agcomm/creativecommons.

North Dakota State University does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, disability, gender expression/identity, genetic information, marital status, national origin, public assistance status, sex, sexual orientation, status as a U.S. veteran, race or religion. Direct inquiries to the Vice President for Equity, Diversity and Global Outreach, 205 Old Main, (701) 231-7708.

This publication will be made available in alternative formats for people with disabilities upon request, (701) 231-7881.